arXiv:1304.5762v1 [math.RT] 21 Apr 2013

CHANGE OF THE *CONGRUENCE CANONICAL FORM OF 2-BY-2 MATRICES UNDER PERTURBATIONS

VYACHESLAV FUTORNY*, LENA KLIMENKO†, AND VLADIMIR V. SERGEICHUK‡

Abstract. It is studied how small perturbations of a 2×2 complex matrix change its canonical form for *congruence. The Hasse diagrams for the closure ordering on the set of *congruence classes of 2×2 matrices is constructed.

Key words. Closure graph, *Congruence canonical form, Perturbations.

AMS subject classifications. 15A21, 15A63.

1. Introduction. We study how small perturbations of a 2×2 complex matrix can change its *canonical form for *congruence (matrices A and B are **congruent* if $S^*AS = B$ for a nonsingular S). We construct the closure graph G_2, which is defined for any natural n as follows.

Definition 1.1. *The closure graph G_n for *congruence classes of $n\times n$ complex matrices is the directed graph, in which each vertex v represents in a one-to-one manner a *congruence class C_v of $n\times n$ matrices, and there is a directed path from a vertex v to a vertex w if and only if one* (*and hence each*) *matrix from C_v can be transformed to a matrix form C_w by an arbitrarily small perturbation.*

The graph G_n is the Hasse diagram of the partially ordered set whose elements are the *congruence classes of $n\times n$ matrices and $a \preccurlyeq b$ means that a is contained in the closure of b. Thus, the graph G_n shows how the *congruence classes relate to each other in the affine space of $n\times n$ matrices.

The graph G_n is also the closure graph for *congruence classes of pairs (P,Q) of $n\times n$ Hermitian matrices since each $n\times n$ matrix is uniquely represented in the form $P+iQ$ and two matrices are *congruent if and only if the corresponding pairs are *congruent. Note that the closure graphs for congruence classes of 2-by-2 and 3-by-3 matrices were constructed in [9].

Unlike perturbations of matrices under congruence and *congruence, perturba-

---

*Department of Mathematics, University of São Paulo, Brazil (futorny@ime.usp.br).

†National Transport University, Suvorov 1, Kiev, Ukraine (e.n.klimenko@gmail.com).

‡Institute of Mathematics, Tereshchenkivska 3, Kiev, Ukraine (sergeich@imath.kiev.ua). This work was done during the visit of V.V. Sergeichuk to the University of São Paulo supported by Fapesp grant 2012/18139-2.

tions of matrices under similarity and of matrix pencils have been much studied. The set of Jordan canonical forms of all matrices that are arbitrarily close to a given matrix was described in [3, 13]. This description was extended to Kronecker's canonical forms of pencils in [14]. The closure graph for 2×3 matrix pencils was constructed in [8]. The article [6] develops a comprehensive theory of closure relations for similarity classes of matrices and for equivalence classes of matrix pencils. The software StratiGraph [7] constructs their closure graphs.

All matrices that we consider are complex matrices.

2. The closure graph for *congruence classes of 2-by-2 matrices. Define the n-by-n matrices:

$$J_n(\lambda) := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & 0 \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \Delta_n := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & & \iddots & i \\ & 1 & \iddots & \\ 1 & i & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Each *congruence class contains exactly one canonical matrix for *congruence; that allows us to identify the vertices of G_n with the $n\times n$ canonical matrices for *congruence. We use the *congruence canonical matrices given in [10] (see also [11, 12]).

Lemma 2.1 ([11]). *Each square complex matrix is *congruent to a direct sum, uniquely determined up to permutation of summands, of matrices of the form*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I_m \\ J_m(\lambda) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ (0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{C},\ |\lambda| < 1), \quad \mu\Delta_n\ (\mu \in \mathbb{C},\ |\mu| = 1), \quad J_k(0). \tag{2.1}$$

For each $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$, the set

$$V_A := \{C^*A + AC \,|\, C \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}\} \tag{2.2}$$

is a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$, which is the tangent space to the *congruence class of A at the point A since

$$(I+\varepsilon C)^*A(I+\varepsilon C) = A + \varepsilon(C^*A + AC) + \varepsilon^2 C^*AC \tag{2.3}$$

for all $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$. The numbers $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_A$ and $n^2 - \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_A$ are called the *dimension* and *codimension over* $\mathbb{R}$ of the *congruence class of A.

The following theorem, which is proved in Section 3, is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 2.2. *The closure graph for *congruence classes of* 2×2 *matrices is*

$$\begin{tikzcd}[ampersand replacement=\&]
\begin{bmatrix}\mu & 0\\ 0 & \nu\end{bmatrix} \& \& \begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\\ \sigma & 0\end{bmatrix} \& \& \begin{bmatrix}0 & \tau\\ \tau & \tau i\end{bmatrix} \& (\textit{cod.}\ 2) \\
\begin{bmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & \lambda\end{bmatrix} \& \& \& \& \begin{bmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & -\lambda\end{bmatrix} \arrow[u, "\tau=\pm\lambda"'] \& (\textit{cod.}\ 4) \\
\& \& \begin{bmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix} \arrow[uull, "\lambda\in\mu\mathbb{R}_+ +\nu\mathbb{R}_+"] \arrow[uu] \arrow[uurr, "\mathrm{Im}(\lambda\bar\tau)\geqslant 0"] \arrow[ull] \arrow[urr] \& \& \& (\textit{cod.}\ 5) \\
\& \& \begin{bmatrix}0 & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix} \arrow[u] \arrow[uuull, bend left] \arrow[uuurr, bend right] \& \& \& (\textit{cod.}\ 8)
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2.4}$$

in which $\lambda,\mu,\nu,\sigma,\tau\in\mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda|=|\mu|=|\nu|=|\tau|=1$, $|\sigma|<1$, $\mathbb{R}_+$ *is the set of nonnegative real numbers, and* $\mathrm{Im}(c)$ *is the imaginary part of* $c\in\mathbb{C}$. *Each *congruence class is given by its canonical matrix, which is a direct sum of blocks of the form* (2.1). *The graph is infinite: each vertex except for* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0&0\\0&0\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *represents an infinite set of vertices indexed by the parameters of the corresponding canonical matrix. The *congruence classes of canonical matrices that are located at the same horizontal level in* (2.4) *have the same codimension over* $\mathbb{R}$, *which is indicated in round brackets to the right.*

The arrow $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\to\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\mu&0\\0&\nu\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *exists if and only if* $\lambda=\mu a+\nu b$ *for some nonnegative* $a,b\in\mathbb{R}$. *The arrow* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\to\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0&\tau\\\tau&i\tau\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *exists if and only if the imaginary part of* $\lambda\bar\tau$ *is nonnegative. The arrow* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&-\lambda\end{smallmatrix}\right]\to\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0&\tau\\\tau&i\tau\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *exists if and only if* $\tau=\pm\lambda$. *The arrows* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&0\end{smallmatrix}\right]\to\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&\pm\lambda\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *exist if and only if the value of* λ *is the same in both matrices. The other arrows exist for all values of parameters of their matrices.*

Remark 2.3. Let M be a 2×2 canonical matrix for *congruence.

- If each neighborhood of M contains a matrix whose *congruence canonical form is N, then there is a directed path from M to N in (2.4) (if $M=N$, then there is always the "lazy" path of length 0 from M to N).
- The closure of the *congruence class of M is equal to the union of the *congruence classes of all canonical matrices N such that there is a directed path from N to M (if $M=N$ then there is always the "lazy" path).

3. Proof of Theorem 2.2. The following lemma is given in [5, Example 2.1]; it is a special case of [5, Theorem 2.2] in which an analogous statement is given for all square matrices.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be any 2×2 matrix. Then all matrices $A+E$ that are sufficiently close to A can be simultaneously reduced by transformations*

$$A+E \mapsto \mathcal{S}(E)^*(A+E)\mathcal{S}(E), \quad \mathcal{S}(E) \text{ is nonsingular and holomorphic at } 0, \tag{3.1}$$

to one of the following forms:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_\lambda & 0 \\ * & * \end{bmatrix} \ (|\lambda| = 1),$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\lambda \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_\lambda & 0 \\ * & \delta_\lambda \end{bmatrix} \ (|\lambda| = 1), \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_\mu \end{bmatrix} \ \begin{matrix} (\lambda \ne \pm\mu, \\ |\lambda| = |\mu| = 1), \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \lambda & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ (|\lambda| < 1), \qquad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda i \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \ (|\lambda| = 1).$$

Each of these matrices has the form $A_{\text{can}} + \mathcal{D}$, in which A_{can} is a direct sum of blocks of the form (2.1)*, the stars in $\mathcal{D}$ are complex numbers, all $\varepsilon_\lambda, \delta_\lambda, \delta_\mu$ are either real numbers if $\lambda, \mu \notin \mathbb{R}$ or pure imaginary numbers if $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$.* (*Clearly, $\mathcal{D}$ tends to zero as E tends to zero.*) *Twice the number of stars plus the number of entries $\varepsilon_\lambda, \delta_\lambda, \delta_\mu$ is the smallest that can be attained by using transformations* (3.1)*; it is equal to the codimension over $\mathbb{R}$ of the *congruence class of A.*

Note that the codimensions of congruence and *congruence classes were calculated in [1, 4] and [2, 5], respectively.

By [15, Part III, Theorem 1.7], the boundary of each *congruence class is a union of *congruence classes of strictly lover dimension, which ensures the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *If $M \to N$ is an arrow in the closure graph G_2, then the *congruence class C_M of M is contained in the closure of the *congruence class C_N of N, and so the codimension of C_M is greater than the codimension of C_N.*

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is divided into two steps.

Step 1: Let us prove that each arrow in (2.4) **is correct.** To make sure that an arrow $M \to N$ is correct, we need to prove that the canonical matrix M can be transformed by an arbitrarily small perturbation to a matrix whose *congruent canonical form is N. Consider each of the arrows of (2.4).

- *The arrows $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \tau \\ \tau & \tau i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ are correct.*

Indeed, each 2×2 matrix A is *congruent to εA, in which ε is any positive real number, and each neighborhood of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ contains εA with a sufficiently small ε. We set $A := \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, or $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \tau \\ \tau & \tau i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

- *The arrow* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ $(|\lambda| = |\mu| = |\nu| = 1)$ *exists if and only if* $\lambda \in \mu\mathbb{R}_+ + \nu\mathbb{R}_+ = \{\mu a + \nu b | a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \geqslant 0, b \geqslant 0\}$ *(in particular,* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *and* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *exist).*

Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ exist; i.e., there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + E := \begin{bmatrix} \lambda + \varepsilon_{11} & \varepsilon_{12} \\ \varepsilon_{21} & \varepsilon_{22} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{of} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.2}$$

that is *congruent to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. This means that there exists a nonsingular $S = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ such that

$$S^* \begin{bmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{bmatrix} S = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + E,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bar{x}x\mu + \bar{z}z\nu = \lambda + \varepsilon_{11} & \bar{x}y\mu + \bar{z}t\nu = \varepsilon_{12} \\ \bar{y}x\mu + \bar{t}z\nu = \varepsilon_{21} & \bar{y}y\mu + \bar{t}t\nu = \varepsilon_{22} \end{array} \tag{3.3}$$

Clearly, $\lambda \in \mu\mathbb{R}_+ + \nu\mathbb{R}_+$ if and only if there are x and z and an arbitrarily small ε_{11} such that the first equality in (3.3) holds (we can take $\varepsilon_{11} = 0$). Taking arbitrarily small y, t for which S is nonsingular, we get arbitrarily small $\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{22}$ for which the other equalities in (3.3) hold.

- *The arrow* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ $(|\lambda| = 1,\ |\sigma| < 1)$ *exists for all* λ *and* σ*.*

Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$; i.e., there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation (3.2) that is *congruent to $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. This means that there exists a nonsingular $S = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} x & y \\ z & t \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ such that

$$S^* \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0 \end{bmatrix} S = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + E,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ll} \bar{x}z + \bar{z}\sigma x = \lambda + \varepsilon_{11} & \bar{x}t + \bar{z}\sigma y = \varepsilon_{12} \\ \bar{y}z + \bar{t}\sigma x = \varepsilon_{21} & \bar{y}t + \bar{t}\sigma y = \varepsilon_{22} \end{array} \tag{3.4}$$

Let $\bar{z}x = u + iv$, $\sigma = \alpha + \beta i$, and $\lambda + \varepsilon_{11} = a + bi$, in which $u, v, \alpha, \beta, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, then the first equation in (3.4) takes the form $(u - vi) + (u + vi)(\alpha + \beta i) = a + bi$, which gives the system

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \alpha)u - \beta v &= a \\ \beta u + (\alpha - 1)v &= b \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the unknowns u and v. Its determinant $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - 1$ is nonzero since $|\sigma| < 1$. Therefore, the first equation in (3.4) holds for some x and z. Taking arbitrarily small y, t for which S is nonsingular, we get arbitrarily small $\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{22}$ for which the other equalities in (3.4) hold.

- *The arrow* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix}0 & \tau\\ \tau & \tau i\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ $(|\lambda| = |\tau| = 1)$ *exists if and only if* $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda\bar{\tau}) \geqslant 0$.

Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \tau\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & i\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ exist; i.e., there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation (3.2) that is *congruent to $\tau\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & i\end{smallmatrix}\right]$. This means that there exists a nonsingular $S = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}x & y\\ z & t\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ such that

$$S^*\tau\begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & i\end{bmatrix}S = \begin{bmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & 0\end{bmatrix} + E,$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{z}x + \bar{x}z + \bar{z}zi = \bar{\tau}(\lambda + \varepsilon_{11}) \qquad && \bar{z}y + \bar{x}t + \bar{z}ti = \bar{\tau}\varepsilon_{12}\\ &\bar{t}x + \bar{y}z + \bar{t}z = \bar{\tau}\varepsilon_{21} && \bar{t}y + \bar{y}t + \bar{t}ti = \bar{\tau}\varepsilon_{22} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Consider the first equation in (3.5). Since $\bar{\tau}(\lambda + \varepsilon_{11}) \neq 0$, $z \neq 0$ too. Thus,

$$\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\tau}(\lambda + \varepsilon_{11})) = \mathrm{Im}(\bar{z}x + \bar{x}z + \bar{z}zi) = \bar{z}z > 0,$$

and so $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\tau}\lambda) \geqslant 0$.

Conversely, if $\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\tau}\lambda) \geqslant 0$, then we can take x, z and an arbitrarily small ε_{11} such that the first equation in (3.5) holds. Taking arbitrarily small y, t for which S is nonsingular, we get arbitrarily small $\varepsilon_{12}, \varepsilon_{21}, \varepsilon_{22}$ for which the other equalities in (3.5) hold.

- *The arrow* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & -\lambda\end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix}0 & \tau\\ \tau & \tau i\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ $(|\lambda| = |\tau| = 1)$ *exists if and only if* $\lambda = \pm\tau$.

Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & -\lambda\end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \tau\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & i\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ exist; i.e., there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & -\lambda\end{smallmatrix}\right] + E$ of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & -\lambda\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ that is *congruent to $\tau\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & i\end{smallmatrix}\right]$. This means that there exists a nonsingular S such that

$$S^*\tau\begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\\ 1 & i\end{bmatrix}S = \begin{bmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & -\lambda\end{bmatrix} + E.$$

Equating the determinants of both sides, we find that $-\tau^2\det(S^*S)$ is arbitrarily close to $-\lambda^2$. Since

$$\det(S^*S) = \overline{\det S}\det S \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda| = |\tau| = 1,$$

we have $\lambda = \pm\tau$.

Conversely, let $\lambda = \pm\tau$. Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1/2 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1 & -1/2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

we have that $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is *congruent to $\pm\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. Its arbitrarily small perturbation $\pm\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \varepsilon i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ ($\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon > 0$) is *congruent to $\pm\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ via $\operatorname{diag}(\sqrt{\varepsilon}, 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Therefore, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \pm\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, and so $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \tau\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Step 2: Let us prove that we have not missed arrows in (2.4)**.** We write $M \nrightarrow N$ if the closure graph G_2 does not have the arrow $M \to N$; i.e., each matrix obtained from M by an arbitrarily small perturbation is not *congruent to N. The evident statement "if $L \leftarrow M \nrightarrow N$ then $L \nrightarrow N$" and Lemma 3.2 ensure that we only need to prove the absence of the arrows

$$\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{smallmatrix}\right], \quad \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right], \quad \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \tau \\ \tau & \tau i \end{smallmatrix}\right].$$

- $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \nrightarrow \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ *and* $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \nrightarrow \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ ($|\lambda| = |\mu| = |\nu| = 1$, $\mu \neq \pm\nu$, $|\sigma| < 1$).

Let there exist an arbitrarily small perturbation $A := \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] + E$ of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \pm\lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ that is *congruent to $B := \left[\begin{smallmatrix} \mu & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ or $C := \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \sigma & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. Then $A^{-*}A := (A^{-1})^*A$ is similar to $B^{-*}B$ or $C^{-*}C$, which is impossible since the eigenvalues of $A^{-*}A$ are arbitrarily close to $\bar{\lambda}^{-1}\lambda = \lambda^2$, whereas $B^{-*}B = \operatorname{diag}(\mu^2, \nu^2)$ and $C^{-*}C = \operatorname{diag}(\sigma, \bar{\sigma}^{-1})$.

- $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \nrightarrow \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \tau \\ \tau & \tau i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ ($|\lambda| = |\tau| = 1$).

Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{smallmatrix}\right] \to \tau\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$; i.e., there exists an arbitrarily small perturbation $A := \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right] + E$ of $\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$ that is *congruent to $B := \lambda^{-1}\tau\left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{smallmatrix}\right]$. This means that there exists a nonsingular S such that

$$S^*\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + E\right)S = \lambda^{-1}\tau\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & i \end{bmatrix}.$$

Equating the determinants of both sides, we find that $(\lambda^{-1}\tau)^2 = -1$, and so $\lambda^{-1}\tau = \pm i$. Then $\operatorname{rank}(B + B^*) = 1$, which is impossible since $A + A^*$ is *congruent to $B + B^*$ and $\operatorname{rank}(A + A^*) = 2$.

REFERENCES

[1] F. De Terán and F.M. Dopico. The solution of the equation $XA + AX^T = 0$ and its application to the theory of orbits. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 434:44–67, 2011.

[2] F. De Terán and F.M. Dopico. The equation $XA + AX^* = 0$ and the dimension of *congruence orbits. *Electr. J. Linear Algebra*, 22:448–465, 2011.

[3] H. den Boer and G.Ph.A. Thijsse. Semi-stability of sums of partial multiplicities under additive perturbation. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 3(1):23–42, 1980.

[4] A.R. Dmytryshyn, V. Futorny, and V.V. Sergeichuk. Miniversal deformations of matrices of bilinear forms. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 436:2670–2700, 2012.

[5] A.R. Dmytryshyn, V. Futorny, and V.V. Sergeichuk. Miniversal deformations of matrices of sesquilinear forms. arXiv:1105.2160.

[6] A. Edelman, E. Elmroth, and B. Kågström. A geometric approach to perturbation theory of matrices and matrix pencils. Part II: A stratification-enhanced staircase algorithm. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 20:667–669, 1999.

[7] E. Elmroth, P. Johansson, and B. Kågström. Computation and presentation of graph displaying closure hierarchies of Jordan and Kronecker structures. *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.*, 8:381–399, 2001.

[8] E. Elmroth and B. Kågström. The set of 2-by-3 matrix pencils—Kronecker structures and their transitions under perturbations. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 17:1–34, 1996.

[9] V. Futorny, L. Klimenko, and V.V. Sergeichuk. Change of the congruence canonical form of 2-by-2 and 3-by-3 matrices under perturbations. arXiv:1004.3590. Submitted to *Linear Algebra Appl.*

[10] R. A. Horn and V. V. Sergeichuk. Congruence of a square matrix and its transpose. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 389:347–353, 2004.

[11] R.A. Horn and V.V. Sergeichuk. Canonical forms for complex matrix congruence and *congruence. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 416:1010–1032, 2006.

[12] R.A. Horn and V.V. Sergeichuk. Canonical matrices of bilinear and sesquilinear forms. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 428:193–223, 2008.

[13] A.S. Markus and E.È. Parilis. The change of the Jordan structure of a matrix under small perturbations. *Mat. Issled.*, 54:98–109 1980. English translation: *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 54:139–152, 1983.

[14] A. Pokrzywa. On perturbations and the equivalence orbit of a matrix pencil. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 82:99–121, 1986.

[15] A. Tannenbaum. *Invariance and System Theory: Algebraic and Geometric Aspects.* Lecture Notes in Math. 845, Springer, 1981.